1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
2	SOUTHERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
4	Plaintiff.
5	v.
6	SCOTT WILLIAM SUTHERLAND, D-1, PATRICK MICHAEL MCKEOUN, D-4,
7	JEFF GARVIN SMITH, D-5, PAUL ANTHONY DARRAH, D-6/D-2,
8	CARY DALE VANDIVER, D- $7/D-5$, VINCENT WITORT, D- 8 ,
9	DAVID RANDY DROSZDOWSKI, D-17,
10	Defendants.
11	JURY TRIAL - VOLUME XXVIII - PART A
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT H. CLELAND
13	United States District Judge Theodore Levin United States Courthouse
14	231 West Lafayette Street Detroit, Michigan 48226
15	Thursday, December 11, 2014
16	APPEARANCES:
17	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: SAIMA MOHSIN
18	ERIC STRAUS U.S. Attorney's Office
19	211 W. Fort Street Detroit, MI 48226
20	JEROME MAIATICO
21	U.S. Department of Justice 1301 New York Avenue, NW
22	Washington, DC 20005
23	FOR THE DEFENDANT: CRAIG A. DALY (Sutherland, D-1)
24	615 Ford Building Suite 820
25	Detroit, MI 48226
	Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

1	APPEARANCES:	(continued)
2	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	SIDNEY KRAIZMAN (McKeoun, D-4)
3		1616 Ford Building Detroit, MI 48226
4		JEROME SABBOTA
5 6		(Smith, D-5/D-1) 26862 Woodward Avenue Suite 200
7		Royal Oak, MI 48067
8		PATRICIA A. MACERONI (Darrah, D-6/D-2)
9		26611 Woodward Avenue Huntington Woods, MI 48070
10		MARK A. SATAWA (Vandiver, D-7/D-5)
11		3000 Town Center, Suite 1800 Southfield, MI 48075
12		KIMBERLY W. STOUT
13 14		(Witort, D-8) 370 East Maple Road, Third Floor Birmingham, MI 48009
1 4 15		BYRON H. PITTS
16		535 Griswold, Suite 1630 Detroit, MI 48226
17		PHILLIP D. COMORSKI
18		535 Griswold 2632 Buhl Building
19		Detroit, MI 48226 RYAN H. MACHASIC
20		(Drowzdowski, D-17) 134 Market Street
21		Mount Clemens, MI 48043
22		
23	To Obtain a Ce	rtified Transcript Contact: Carol S. Sapala
24	Proceedings prod	, FCRR, CSR (313)961-7552 uced by mechanical stenography.
25	Transcript produce	d by computer-aided transcription.
	Usa v Sutherla	nd, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2	:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 4 of 86 Pg ID 64134
1	
2	
3	EXHIBITS
4	
5	
6	IDENTIFICATION PAGE
7	
8	Government Exhibit 11-33 79
9	Government Exhibit 11-34 79
10	Government Exhibit 11-36 79
11	Government Exhibit 11-38 thru 42 79
12	Government Exhibit 11-52 79
13	Government Exhibit 11-54 79
14	Government Exhibit 11-55 79
15	Government Exhibit 11-57 79
16	Government Exhibit 11-58 thru 65 79
17	Government Exhibit 11-67-68 79
18	Government Exhibit 70-78 79
19	
20	
21	Defense Exhibit 13 25
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 5 of 86 Pg ID 6414<sup>5</sup>
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
     Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2.
     December 11, 2014
     9:09 a.m.
 3
 4
 5
               (Call to Order of the Court; all
 6
               parties present)
 7
                            Calling case number 11-20066 and
               THE CLERK:
 8
     11-20219, United States versus Scott Sutherland, et al.
 9
               THE COURT: Morning to counsel and parties.
10
     Everyone is seated. Jury's now here and all seated.
11
     Parties and counsel may be seated. I will note the
     presence of all defendants and all attorneys as well.
12
13
          Good morning to the members of the jury.
14
               JURORS: Morning.
               THE COURT: And we will, at your request that
15
16
     I received through staff we'll recess a little bit early
17
     this afternoon 4:15, perhaps even a little bit earlier
18
     then that.
          People have outside activities I understand to
19
20
     attend, some people do. So we'll run on that sort of
21
     schedule, please, counsel.
2.2
          All right. Then for presentation, this morning,
     Mr. Straus?
2.3
24
               MR. STRAUS: I believe it would be cross
25
     examination of Ms. Maday.
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 6 of 86 Pg ID 64156
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
                          You have her present?
 1
               THE COURT:
 2
           (Whereupon the witness resumed the stand
 3
           and testified further as follows)
 4
               THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am.
                                                  Please have
 5
     a seat.
             You understand your oath continues from
 6
     yesterday.
 7
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. And any additional
 9
     questions, Mr. Machasic?
10
               MR. MACHASIC: Thank you.
                                           Judge.
11
                   CROSS EXAMINATION (continuing)
12
     BY MR. MACHASIC:
13
          Good morning, Ms. Maday. How are you?
14
          Good.
     Α
15
          I just have a few follow up questions based on the
16
     government's direct examination of you.
               THE COURT: You might want to bend that
17
     microphone so that it the tip of it more like that.
18
     think it's sort of -- it's directional.
19
20
          So go ahead, sir.
21
     BY MR. MACHASIC:
22
          Is this better?
23
          Can you hear me better, Ms. Maday?
24
          Yes.
25
     Q
          I'm going to ask you a little bit about the timing
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 7 of 86 Pg ID 6416<sup>7</sup>
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
 1
     of things.
 2
          And that is, was it in 2010, was it August or
 3
     September about that time, late Summer, that you met
 4
     Mr. Drozdowski?
 5
          Yes.
 6
          It was at Clem's Pourhouse?
 7
     Α
          Yes.
 8
          Where's Clem's Pourhouse?
 9
          696 and Van Dyke.
     Α
10
          That's a bar?
11
     Α
          It was, yes.
12
          Was it a biker bar?
13
          They were known to be there, yes.
14
          Okay. And at the time Dave was living on Anchor
15
     Bay Drive?
16
          He moved to Anchor Bay Drive. He was first staying
17
     on, I believe, it was Fruit or some kind of road within
18
     that vicinity.
19
          Okay. So sometime shortly after you met, though,
20
     he moved to Anchor Bay; is that my understanding?
21
     Α
          Yes.
22
          He moved in with "Lurch"?
2.3
     Α
          Yes.
24
          "Lurch" was a Vigilante, right?
25
     Α
          Correct.
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 8 of 86 Pg ID 64178
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
          Not a Devil's Diciples?
 1
     Q
 2
     Α
          No.
 3
          And "Lurch" was like a good friend of Dave's or --
 4
     Α
          I believe there was some form of cousins.
 5
          Cousins?
                    All right.
 6
          Do you know if they were just like close and they
 7
     called each other 'cuz or --
 8
          Probably. I couldn't say for sure.
 9
          Okay. And then you moved in with Dave about late
10
     2011 onto Maurice?
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
          Okay.
                 And between Lurch's place -- so the place on
13
     Anchor Bay and Maurice, that's when you lived with,
14
     briefly, April and SA?
15
     Α
          Yes.
16
          Now during that time with April and SA, you said
     Dave was making methamphetamine in a shed?
17
          Uh-huh.
18
     Α
19
          Okay. Not in April and SA's house, in a shed.
20
     Correct?
21
          Uh-huh.
22
               THE COURT:
                            You need to say more than uh-huh.
23
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
24
               THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
25
               THE WITNESS:
                              Yes.
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 9 of 86 Pg ID 64189
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
 1
               MR. MACHASIC: Thank you, Ms. Maday.
 2
     BY MR. MACHASIC:
 3
     0
          You would stay in the house with April, right?
 4
     Α
          Yes.
 5
          And with the kids, right?
 6
     Α
          Yes.
 7
          Now at the Anchor Bay Drive address, Dave, a couple
 8
     times, made meth there, right?
 9
          Uh-huh. Yes.
10
          Now before leaving Anchor Bay Drive, "Lurch" had
11
     already move out, right?
12
          Yes.
13
          And he moved out because Dave wasn't holding up his
14
     end of the bargain, not paying any of the bills or
15
     contributing to the rent?
16
          Yes.
17
          And that was because Dave was pretty much always
18
     broke, right?
19
     Α
          Yes.
20
          And I can't think of a more delicate term.
21
          So he was kind of a mooch?
22
     Α
          Yes.
23
          You paid the bills?
     0
24
     Α
          I'm sorry?
25
     Q
          You paid the bills, right?
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 10 of 86 Pg ID 6419
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
 1
          I -- yes.
 2
          Okay. And at some point, Dave got a motorcycle,
 3
     right?
 4
     Α
          Yes.
 5
          And in the end, you ended up paying for that
 6
     motorcycle, right?
 7
     Α
          Yes.
 8
                 Now, also in 2011, there was a time where
 9
     Dave went back to work as a Mason, right?
10
          Correct.
11
          Okay.
                 From maybe Spring to November or whenever
12
     the season would stop for masonry?
13
          Yes.
14
          And he was working with Tony and NC Cement?
15
     Α
          Yes.
16
          And even during that time, you still pretty much
17
     had to contribute the vast majority towards --
18
     Α
          Yes.
19
          -- all the bills?
20
     Α
          Yes.
21
                 In fact, Dave was even behind in his club
22
     dues, right?
23
     Α
          Yes.
          Now the -- so you go into Maurice.
24
25
          And then at Maurice, Dave's also making meth in the
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 11 of 86 Pg ID 6420 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.) shed, right? 1 2 Yes. 3 Now at the Maurice address when the FBI came in and 4 executed the search warrant, they found ammunition. 5 Do you recall that? 6 Yes. 7 And that was in a box up on a shelf, like a 8 moving box? 9 It was on the shelf, top shelf in the back. 10 unloaded it from the box when we moved in. 11 Okay. And so these were in boxes that you had 12 moved from -- had come with you along from Anchor Bay 13 Drive? 14 Correct. 15 And in that box, those were actually Lurch's things contained within that box, right? 16 17 Yes. 18 And so the ammo, the ammunition, was actually 19 Lurch's ammunition, right? 20 My understanding, yes. 21 They were like size 13 shoes or boots in 22 that box? 23 Α Yes. 24 Do you recall?

And David Drozdowski does not wear size 13 shoes,

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 12 of 86 Pg ID 6422
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
     does he?
 1
 2
          No.
 3
          Also, when they came in and executed the search
 4
     warrant, they took the motorcycle that was in the shed,
 5
     right?
 6
          Correct.
 7
          So they went in the shed and they took the
 8
     motorcycle?
 9
          Correct.
10
          And they kept it?
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
                 By the way, that motorcycle had -- did you
13
     and/or Dave name it?
14
     Α
          Yes.
15
          And was that motorcycle named Rose?
16
     Α
          Yes.
17
     Q
          That was after you, correct?
18
     Α
          Yes.
19
          Now over the course of knowing Dave, beginning your
20
     relationship with him, he started using or was using
21
     meth when you first met him, right?
22
          Yes.
23
          You were attracted to him because this was -- this
24
     was back at Clem's Pourhouse.
25
          He was kind of edgy, right?
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 13 of 86 Pg ID 6422
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
 1
     Α
          Yes.
 2
          He had tattoos?
 3
     Α
          Yes.
 4
          Kind of -- kind of the bad boy look?
 5
     Α
          Yes.
 6
     Q
          Okay.
                 And I think you said he was your chase?
 7
     Α
          Yes.
 8
          And so you actually you pursued --
 9
     Α
          Yes.
10
          -- Mr. Drozdowski?
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
          Eventually, you established a relationship, started
13
     dating and had been together?
14
     Α
          Yes.
15
          Your parents live in the area, right?
16
          They live in Michigan.
     Α
17
          Okay. And you actually moved stuff from your
18
     family home when you moved in with Dave, correct?
19
     Α
          Yes.
20
                 Now over the course of time, your Meth habit
21
     increased, right?
22
     Α
          Correct.
23
          And Dave's did as well, correct?
     0
24
     Α
          Correct.
25
     Q
          And you and Dave, you were using more and more Meth
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 14 of 86 Pg ID 6423
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
 1
     as time progressed, yes?
 2
          Yes.
 3
          And to the point where, I mean, Dave would use Meth
 4
     any chance he got.
 5
          If he could get his hands on it, he would use Meth,
 6
     right?
 7
          Yes.
 8
          It became so bad, he would stay up for two or three
 9
     days at a time on Meth, constantly taking Meth, keeping
10
     his high, right?
11
          Yes.
12
          Now you talked about seeing I think this was at
13
     Chad's house?
14
          Correct.
15
          They would go out in the garage and they make Meth.
16
          And you saw a coffee filter being brought in; do
17
     you recall that?
18
          Yes.
19
          They would -- once they brought that in, Chad and
20
     Dave actually shared what they had made, right?
21
          Correct.
22
          They would sit around and use it.
23
          They would get high?
24
          Correct.
25
     Q
          So they would go out away from you, make that Meth,
```

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 15 of 86 Pg ID 6424 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.) and they'd come in and party get high? 1 2 Correct. 3 What was the delivery method? How did you two use 4 Meth? 5 Snorted it. 6 Snorted it? 7 Α Yes. 8 Did you put that on a mirror or on spoon? 9 How do you snort Meth? 10 On a mirror or using your thumb. 11 Your thumb? Q 12 After Anchor Bay you moved to SA and April's. 13 And that was for a brief period of time, only for a 14 couple of months? 15 Correct. 16 And this was SA and April, April Sykes? 17 Correct. 18 And April was kind of a Drama Queen, I guess, is 19 the best word? 20 Correct. 21 And she would exaggerate blow things up and kind of 22 try to start conflict, right? 23 Correct. 24 This wasn't just one time, this was pretty much her

25

M.O. the way that she operated?

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 16 of 86 Pg ID 6425 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.) 1 Correct. 2 It was the April show, 24/7, right? 3 Α Correct. 4 And you got out of that -- April's house. 5 And you and Dave went got your place on Maurice, 6 right? 7 Α Correct. 8 Now Dave would not just use Meth at home, right? 9 Α Correct. 10 He would actually go out and party? 11 Α Correct. Okay. And in the beginning, he would party with 12 13 people at work at Clem's, right? 14 Uh-huh. Α 15 You would use Meth with those people. 16 Α Yes. 17 0 And he would party with you. 18 You guys would use Meth. Yes? 19 Correct. Α 20 And he would party at the club. 21 And you said that he would use Meth at the club as 22 well, right? 23 Correct. 24 And at the club when Dave would use Meth or you

would use Meth, you wouldn't go pick a table and start

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 17 of 86 Pg ID 6426
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
     lining up lines of Meth or putting them on mirrors out
 1
 2
     in the open on a table, right?
 3
     Α
          No.
 4
          You would actually go in the back, kind of hide it
 5
     from whoever was around, right?
 6
          Correct.
 7
          So you would use it discreetly?
 8
     Α
          Correct.
 9
          Now if you're using it a home, you could just go
     put it on the coffee table and do it that way, right?
10
11
     Α
          Correct.
12
               MR. MACHASIC: You've seen Dave holding his
13
     fingers -- for the record, I'm holding up both of my
14
     hands with all but my thumbs sticking out.
     BY MR. MACHASIC:
15
16
          So the 44 sign?
17
          Correct.
18
          And that stood for DD, correct?
19
          Correct.
     Α
20
          Devil's Diciples?
21
     Α
          Yes.
22
          Now you said D talked about or Mr. Drozdowski
23
     talked about the DDMC a lot, right?
24
          Yes.
25
          He would discuss what was going on with you, right?
```

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 18 of 86 Pg ID 64278 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.) 1 2 And you've been at the club house, right? 3 Α Yes. 4 You know who several people are, right? 5 Yes. 6 And I think you described it as a dysfunctional 7 club? 8 Yes. 9 Not everybody got along in the Devil's Diciples, 10 did they? 11 No. 12 It was kind of like a -- for lack of a 13 better term, what you would think of like a middle school lunch room. 14 15 You had one table with this group, another table 16 with this group. 17 And you can't sit at my table and I'm not sitting 18 at your table? 19 Sounds right. Yes. That's about right? 20 21 Α Uh-huh. 22 That's the best analogy? 23 That's all right. Α

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

doing all the same thing all in lock step.

These club members, this was not one cohesive club

24

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 19 of 86 Pg ID 6428
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
          These were different groups in that club, different
 1
 2
     people doing different things?
 3
          That was my understanding, yes.
 4
          And D even more.
 5
          I mean, he would -- he just did whatever he wanted,
 6
     right?
 7
     Α
          Yes.
 8
                 Doesn't listen to anybody, right?
 9
          No.
10
          Takes his -- I think it's the expression keeps his
11
     own counsel?
12
          Yes.
13
          Now at a certain point -- how long were you on
     Maurice, by the way? I'm sorry.
14
15
          It was shortly after January 2012 that we moved
16
     out.
17
          Okay. And when you moved out, you moved in with
     Dave's sister, right?
18
19
          Correct.
     Α
20
          And you were there for a few months, right?
21
          Correct.
22
          Okay. And the plan was to actually -- for you and
23
     Dave to move closer to -- is it Auburn Hills?
24
          Yes.
```

Because at the time you were working at Chrysler,

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 20 of 86 Pg ID 6429

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
     right?
 1
 2
          Yes.
 3
          So the plan was to kind of leave the east side and
 4
     go -- you and Dave go find a place in Auburn Hills
 5
     somewhere?
 6
          Yes.
 7
          Because that was closer to your work, right?
     0
 8
     Α
          Correct.
 9
          And his sister lives -- is it New Baltimore?
10
     Α
          Correct.
11
          That's a long drive for you to get to work?
     Q
12
     Α
          Yes.
13
          And that's what really mattered, because you were
14
     the one providing money for the couple, right?
15
          Correct.
     Α
16
          You now at some point -- let's go back to Maurice
17
     and the search warrant.
18
          The FBI came in with the search warrant.
19
          Correct.
     Α
20
          They presented it to you and they seized several
21
     items, right?
22
          Yes.
23
          They went in the shed and out of the shed they
24
     seized the motorcycle that you had purchased?
25
          Yes.
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 21 of 86 Pg ID 6430
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
          And a bunch of other stuff, right?
 1
     Q
 2
          Boxes, mirrors, ammunition, all of that stuff?
 3
     Α
          Yes.
 4
          That was a pretty unpleasant experience for you, I
 5
     imagine?
 6
          Yes.
 7
          They didn't come in and knock and say we have a
 8
     search warrant, we'd like to come in.
                                             Did they?
 9
          No.
10
          They actually bust in and -- I mean it's pretty
11
     intimidating when the FBI comes in the early morning
12
     hours and just starts you sit here, you sit here.
13
          Here's what we're here for. Right?
14
          Yes.
15
          Okay.
                 Now that wasn't your last contact with the
16
     FBI in that respect, was it?
17
          No.
18
          They came to your work, correct?
19
          Correct.
     Α
20
          Okay. And they came to your work for what purpose?
21
          To talk with me.
22
     0
          To talk with?
          And that, that scared you a bit, didn't it --
23
24
          Absolutely.
25
          -- when they showed up at your place of work.
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 22 of 86 Pg ID 6432 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.) 1 Absolutely. 2 They were demanding information, correct? 3 Α Absolutely. 4 And that scared you when the FBI shows up at your 5 work with all of your colleagues around? 6 Yes. 7 And then, again, you moved into an apartment later 8 on, right? 9 Α Yes. 10 In Berkley? 11 That's current. I was in Lake Orion before that. 12 Lake Orion. Okay. They show up at your apartment. And, again, they 13 14 come in and they do another search warrant, right? 15 That was in Berkley, yes. 16 That was in Berkley. 17 And, again, it scared you yet again? 18 Absolutely. 19 Okay. In fact, because of this, you started having 20 anxiety attacks, right? 21 I was getting anxiety since -- anxiety attacks 22 since January 2012. 23 Since, since they first executed the search

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

24

25

warrant?

Yes.

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 23 of 86 Pg ID 6432 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.) In fact, even hearing a knock on a neighbor's door 1 would cause you to be jumpy, right? 2 3 Α Yes. 4 After the FBI comes to Maurice, comes to your work, 5 comes to your new apartment, you actually had to seek 6 counseling to help you with that anxiety, right? 7 Α Yes. 8 Do you need a drink of water? 9 I'm all right. No. 10 Now let's talk about "Property patches". 11 Α Okay. You didn't wear a "Property Of patch", did you? 12 13 Α No. 14 And nobody forced a "Property patch" on you? 15 Α No. 16 It was optional for you to wear that "Property Of patch", right? 17 18 Yeah. 19 Your choice was I'm not wearing a "Property Of 20 patch"? 21 Correct. Let me take you around 2011 around Thanksgiving. 22 23 About Thanksgiving 2011, do you recall is that when

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

Dave had his one year anniversary --

24

25

Α

Yes.

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 24 of 86 Pg ID 6433
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
          -- with the DDMC?
 1
     Q
 2
          Yes.
 3
          It's about 2011 Thanksgiving, he got a pie in the
 4
     face or a cake?
 5
          It was a pie.
 6
          They put a pie in your face. That's how they
 7
     celebrate your one year birthday, I guess, in the DDMC?
 8
          That was often a ritual is putting a cake in your
 9
     face.
10
          Okay.
                 The person who's getting a cake or pie in
11
     the face, like, you know that's coming, right?
12
          Yeah.
13
                 And it's kind of a joke, every about laughs
          Okay.
14
     about it?
15
          Uh-huh.
                   Correct.
16
          Now part of what the FBI seized was your camera,
17
     correct?
18
          Yes.
19
          Took your camera.
20
          You had a lot of photographs on it, right?
21
          Yes.
22
               MR. MACHASIC: And I'm going to -- if I may
23
     approach the witness, Your Honor?
24
               THE COURT: Yes, sir.
25
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 25 of 86 Pg ID 6434
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
     BY MR. MACHASIC:
 1
 2
          I'm going to ask you if you recognize one of these
 3
     things that was on your camera.
 4
                          (After a short delay, the
 5
                         proceedings continued)
 6
          I can't be certain at this time.
 7
          You can't be certain.
                                  That's okay.
 8
               MR. MACHASIC: May I have one moment, Your
 9
     Honor?
10
               THE COURT:
                           You may.
11
          (A discussion was held off the record)
               MR. MACHASIC: Your Honor, without objection,
12
13
     I'm simply going to move for Defense Proposed Exhibit
14
     13.
15
               MR. STRAUS: No objection, Your Honor.
                           It's identified as?
16
               THE COURT:
               MR. MACHASIC: This is a photograph that
17
18
     was --
19
               THE COURT: A photograph.
                                           That's fine.
20
     Photograph Defense 13, without objection, received.
21
          Go ahead and deal with it then.
22
               MR. MACHASIC: I think I'd like to publish
2.3
     Defense Exhibit 13.
24
     BY MR. MACHASIC:
25
          Ms. Maday, can you identify what it is?
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 26 of 86 Pg ID 6435
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
          It looks like maybe a back of a shirt.
 1
 2
          And are Devil's Diciples listed on there?
 3
     Α
          Yes.
 4
          As being supporters of the Thunder Roads Support
 5
     Our Troops?
 6
          Yes.
 7
          That's 2011.
     0
 8
          Uh-huh.
     Α
 9
          Okay. Nearly at the end, Ms. Maday.
10
          The bolt that the government showed you, that
11
     little bolt?
12
          Yes.
13
          That had Meth residue on it, right?
14
     Α
          Correct.
15
          And you said that Dave received that when he took a
16
     trip out to California?
17
          Yes.
18
          So you don't know how the Meth residue got in
19
     there, just he didn't have a bolt when he went to
20
     California, right?
21
          Correct.
22
          Comes back to Michigan after going out and partying
     in California?
23
24
          Uh-huh.
25
          By the way, you were working at this time?
```

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 27 of 86 Pg ID 6436
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
 1
          Yes.
 2
          So you're going to work and he's out in California
 3
     partying?
 4
          Yes.
 5
          Then he comes back and he's got a bolt.
 6
          And it's got the remanence of Meth inside of it,
 7
     right?
 8
          Correct.
 9
          The photographs that the government showed you of D
10
     holding two sidearms with "Cracker"?
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
          Do you recall those photos?
13
     Α
          Yes.
14
          Do you recall taking them?
15
     Α
          Yes.
16
          And this was at Clem's Poorhouse, right?
17
     Α
          Yes.
18
          And at the time was "Lurch" managing it?
19
     Α
          Yes.
20
          "Lurch" kept -- if you know, "Lurch" kept sidearms
21
     in the back office?
22
     Α
          He kept what?
23
          Firearms, guns, in the back office?
     0
24
          I can't be certain.
25
     Q
          Okay. Do you know if either of those sidearms
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 28 of 86 Pg ID 6438
               Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14
          Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)
     belonged to "Lurch"?
 1
 2
          I've no idea.
 3
          Okay. Now let's -- one last thing.
 4
          That is, you gave -- earlier, you gave a sworn
 5
     statement in this case, correct?
 6
          Yes.
 7
          An affidavit.
 8
          You signed an affidavit?
 9
     Α
          Yes.
10
          And in that affidavit, you stated that you didn't
11
     think that David Drozdowski posed a danger to the
12
     community. Correct?
13
          Correct.
14
          And then after that, the government filed a
15
     criminal complaint, right?
16
          Yes.
17
          Okay. And in that complaint, they charged you with
18
     conspiracy to manufacture and distribute
19
     methamphetamine?
20
          Yes.
21
          And this was actually shortly after you signed that
22
     affidavit, right?
23
          Matter of a couple months, maybe?
24
          Yes.
25
          Okay.
                 Now it was explained to you that that was a
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 29 of 86 Pg ID 6439

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.)

- 1 | light felony?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And that perhaps based on amounts, it could be a
- 4 mandatory minimum of 10 years?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q You went in and -- by the way, this is after the
- 7 | FBI had already come in and raided you, right?
- 8 A I can't remember what happened first.
- 9 Q Okay. It was after the Maurice search warrant
- 10 execution, right?
- 11 A Oh, yes.
- 12 Q Was it after they came to your work?
- 13 | A Yes.
- 14 0 Okay. So they had already come to you twice,
- 15 right?
- 16 A I, I actually had seen them three times.
- 17 \parallel Q At the point that they filed the complaint?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. Now after they, after they do this, after
- 20 they file this complaint, you then start talking to them
- 21 in terms of trying to resolve everything, right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q And you had the very good assistance of counsel in
- 24 | helping you to do that, right?
- 25 A Yes.

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 30 of 86 Pg ID 6439 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII - 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Exam/Mr. Machasic (cont.) And in terms of doing that, you are now simply 1 2 charged with a misdemeanor, right? 3 I believe that's what I heard on direct. 4 Α Yes. 5 It's your hope and expectation that you will 6 receive probation as part of this, right? 7 Α Yes. 8 You also signed a cooperation agreement with the 9 government? 10 Yes. 11 And your lawyer explained to you that that 12 cooperation agreement obligated you to come to court 13 when the government asks to you come to court, right? 14 Α Yes. 15 And to testify on behalf of the government, right? 16 Α Yes. 17 And, so, today, you come here because you're required to be here, right? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 This is not a pleasant experience for you. 21 None of this has been, no.

And your lawyer explained to you that in terms of

the cooperation agreement, this is pretty standard

This is how the cooperation agreements go?

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

22

23

24

25

language, right?

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc#239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 31 of 86 Pg ID 6440 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Examination/Mr. Straus

1 Yes. 2 And that in terms of the extent of cooperation and 3 the testimony, it's up to the government to make the 4 determination as to what recommendations they will make, 5 and what, ultimately, they will do when it comes time 6 for sentencing or a plea agreement; anything like that. 7 Right? 8 Yes. 9 0 Okay. 10 MR. MACHASIC: May I have one moment, please, 11 Your Honor? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 (A discussion was held off the record) 14 MR. MACHASIC: Your Honor, thank you. 15 further questions. Any other cross examination? 16 THE COURT: 17 Any redirect examination? 18 MR. STRAUS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. STRAUS: 21 Ms. Maday, you were asked on cross examination --22 move this around. 23 You were asked on cross examination about 24 counseling. 25 Has your life changed since these arrests, these

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 32 of 86 Pg ID 6442

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Lori Maday-Cross Examination/Mr. Straus

- 1 contacts with law enforcement?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 | Q Have you turned your life around?
- 4 A Yes. Yes.
- 5 | Q That one of the reasons you're here as well?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Are you still in love with Dave?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Now you were -- on cross examination, you were, you
- 10 were asked a series of questions about an interview that
- 11 took place at your work.
- 12 You weren't actually interviewed in front of a
- 13 whole bunch of people, were you?
- 14 | A No.
- 15 Q You were taken to, to -- by security to a separate
- 16 | area, correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q And nobody came bouncing in and announcing their
- 19 | appearance.
- 20 They went -- the FBI went through security and
- 21 | quietly interviewed you, correct?
- 22 | A Correct.
- 23 | O And they interviewed you not -- this was after Dave
- 24 | had been arrested on this case, the RICO case, correct?
- 25 A Correct.

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 33 of 86 Pg ID 6442
            Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                     12/11/14
         Lori Maday-Cross Examination/Mr. Straus
       This was, this was an interview about a new matter
  involving Dave, correct?
       Correct.
       And there you talked about two search warrants,
  correct?
            MR. MACHASIC: Objection, Your Honor. This is
  403.
                        I think that the substance will
            THE COURT:
  probably be -- whatever it is, will probably be
  restrained.
            MR. STRAUS: It will be very restrained, Your
  Honor.
                        I'm going to take that.
            THE COURT:
       I don't know what it is that you're speaking of
  exactly, but Mr. Straus will be restrained as he said.
       Go ahead. We're talking about the interaction with
  her, I think.
            MR. STRAUS: Yes.
  BY MR. STRAUS:
       When the FBI came to your apartment, again and
```

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- searched your house, that was on a new investigative matter involving David and possibly yourself, correct, the second time?
- 24 Right. I -- I guess so, yes.
- 25 Okay. And, and just so the jury knows, a bunch of Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 34 of 86 Pg ID 6443

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
Lori Maday-Cross Examination/Mr. Straus
```

- 1 | letters were taken during that second search, correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 | Q And those were letters from David to you, correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q All right. And he had already been charged here in
- 6 this case, correct?
- 7 A Charged?
- 8 Q In the RICO conspiracy already?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And he wasn't -- he wasn't, obviously, living there
- 11 anymore, was he, with you?
- 12 | A No.
- 13 Q Now you were also asked some questions about after
- 14 | Meth was produced in the coffee filter, you, Dave, other
- 15 people consumed the Meth. Correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 | Q But there were other times that the Meth was bagged
- 18 up in those little bags.
- 19 And then later, you and Dave would go to the club
- 20 house, correct?
- 21 A It didn't happen all the time.
- 22 Q Sometimes.
- 23 | A Uh-huh.
- 24 Q You were also -- by the way, your motorcycle;
- 25 | that's named Rose?

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 35 of 86 Pg ID 6444

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
Lori Maday-Cross Examination/Mr. Straus
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Does Rose have Devil's Diciples emblems and
- 3 stickers on it?
- 4 | A I couldn't remember at this time. It did at once.
- 5 Q Okay. But you don't have it in your custody
- 6 anymore?
- 7 | A No.
- 8 | Q That wasn't your real -- that really wasn't your
- 9 motorcycle.
- 10 | A No.
- 11 Q Was this somewhat part of the mooching?
- 12 **|** A Yes.
- 13 | Q And you're also asked some questions about the
- 14 Devil's Diciples being dysfunctional.
- 15 Is it -- was your understanding women were treated
- 16 differently then men within the Devil's Diciples?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q And was there an equal --
- 19 MS. STOUT: I'm going to object to relevance
- 20 of how men treated women. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: It's related to cross examination.
- 22 And the objection's overruled.
- MR. MACHASIC: Actually, Your Honor, I'm
- 24 sorry, but I join Ms. Stout's objection, Judge.
- I would indicate that I never went into women's
 - Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 36 of 86 Pg ID 6445

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                        12/11/14
           Lori Maday-Cross Examination/Mr. Straus
     roles in the Devil's Diciples during my cross
 1
 2
     examination.
 3
               THE COURT: The concept was dysfunctional
 4
     relationships. That's reasonable as far as I'm
 5
     concerned.
 6
          Go ahead.
 7
               MR. STRAUS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. It was
 8
     foundational.
 9
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
10
     BY MR. STRAUS:
11
          Was there an equal distribution of information
     between women and men within the Devil's Diciples
12
13
     environment?
14
          In other words, were women privy to everything men
15
    were?
16
          No.
17
          In fact, Devil's Diciples kept a lot of information
18
     from their women?
19
          Absolutely.
     Α
20
          So when you talk about dysfunctional --
21
               MS. STOUT: I'm going to object to Devil's
22
     Diciples. She certainly doesn't know every Devil's
23
     Diciples. It's quite a broad statement.
24
               THE COURT: Overruled.
25
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 37 of 86 Pg ID 6446

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                         12/11/14
           Lori Maday-Cross Examination/Mr. Straus
 1
     BY MR. STRAUS:
 2
          So when you were asked questions and you agreed
 3
     with the conclusion that there was some dysfunctionality
 4
     within the Devil's Diciples, was that based solely on
 5
     your own observations or was that from input from David?
 6
          It was mainly my own.
 7
          But based on some input that I -- conversations,
 8
    yes.
 9
          Okay. Dave's view of the world, correct?
10
     Α
          Yes.
11
          Finally, you were asked -- you signed an affidavit
12
     during the -- after Dave was arrested, you signed an
13
     affidavit in support of some kind of motion before this
14
     court?
15
          Do you remember that testimony?
16
          Yes.
17
          And you signed an affidavit that said you did not,
     at that time, believe he was a danger to the community
18
19
     or risk of flight?
20
          Correct.
21
          Has that opinion changed?
22
     Α
          Somewhat, yes.
23
     0
          All right.
24
               MR. STRAUS: No further questions.
25
               THE COURT:
                           All right. You may step down and
```

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 38 of 86 Pg ID 6448
                Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
          Chris Adams-Direct Examination/Mr. Straus
     be excused.
 1
 2
                          (The witness was excused
 3
                          at 9:50 a.m.)
               THE COURT: Next witness will be whom?
 4
 5
               MR. STRAUS: Your Honor, at this time, the
 6
     United States would call Chris Adams.
 7
               THE COURT: Relevant to what counts? Relative
 8
     to any particular counts?
 9
               MR. STRAUS: Count Two, Your Honor, the
10
     gambling conspiracy.
11
          (Whereupon the witness was then sworn)
12
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. STRAUS:
13
14
          Sir, could you state your name and spell your last
15
     name for the record, please.
16
          James Christian Adams a-d-a-m-s.
17
          Sir, before we begin -- and the record should so
     reflect, I think I have some original notes of yours.
18
19
          Did you bring your file?
20
          I did.
21
          All right. Sir, where are you employed?
22
          Michigan Gambling Control Board for the State of
23
     Michigan.
24
          And in what capacity?
25
          Gaming Lab Manager.
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

- 1 | Q What does a Gambling Lab Manager do?
- 2 | A I supervise a section that evaluates and certifies
- 3 | all electronic gaming devices, that would include slot
- 4 machines and video pokers and, also, the slot accounting
- 5 systems they keep track of game performance.
- 6 Q Okay. And how long have you been doing that?
- 7 A In February, it will be 10 years.
- 8 0 2005?
- 9 A Yeah.
- 10 Q And before you were employed with the Michigan
- 11 Gambling Control Board -- is that MGCB for short?
- 12 | A Yes.
- 13 Q Were you employed in any other capacity within the
- 14 | gambling slot machine world?
- 15 | A Yes. I worked for a manufacturer of video poker
- 16 and slot machines for seven years.
- 17 | Q Okay. And what was -- what types of jobs did you
- 18 do with that outfit?
- 19 A Started as a manufacturing engineer and operations
- 20 manager. So I was in charge of production,
- 21 | installation, customer service, inventory.
- 22 | Q Okay. And what is your educational background?
- 23 A Mechanical engineer.
- 24 Q Okay. In terms of your former job and your current
- 25 job, does it involve aspects of that electrical

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 40 of 86 Pg ID 6449
             Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
        Chris Adams-Direct Examination/Mr. Straus
  engineering type of background, mechanical engineering
  or both?
       A little bit of both, but the slot machines
  nowadays are primarily electronic.
       Okay.
              And have you, have you had any
  training, specialized training in slot machines and
  their use and their functionality?
       Yes.
       I've attended training on illegal gaming devices
  and then we also get trained by the manufacturers of the
  slot machines and video poker games.
       Did you receive any training when you were in the
  private sector?
       Just on the job.
       On the job.
       So would it be fair to say you're fairly familiar
  with the insides of slot machines?
       Yes, that would be fair.
       Did there come a point in time where you were asked
  to review some slot machines that had been seized by the
  Federal Bureau of Investigation?
  Α
       Yes.
       And when, approximately, was that?
  0
       It was about seven years ago.
  Q
       Seven years ago?
```

A Yeah.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 Q And was that at or around the time they had, to
- 3 your knowledge, been seized in search warrants?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 ∥ Q All right.

their serials.

Let me direct your attention to -- let me go
through some -- I'm going to name some slot machines and

If it will assist you, please refer to your notes. But did you -- during that request, did you examine a Roaring 20's Slot Machine, serial number S970519159, that was manufactured by Balley?

- A Yes.
- MR. STRAUS: And for the benefit of the record, Your Honor, that would be the machine, just to benefit the jury, also, depicted in the photograph that is represented by Government Exhibit 3-1.
- 18 BY MR. STRAUS:
 - Q Secondly, did you examine an All American Slot Machine, serial number S63U3WT10, manufactured by Balley?

And for purposes of the record, this would be represent -- the machine represented in the photograph that has been marked as Government Exhibit 3-2.

25

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Did you also review, examine a casino poker machine, serial number 800910, manufactured by Cal
- 4 Omega?
- 5 And for benefit of the record, this is the machine
- 6 that's represented in the photograph that has been
- 7 previously admitted as Government Exhibit 3-3?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Did you examine a red, white and blue slot machine,
- 10 serial number S970316561, that was manufactured by
- 11 Balley.
- 12 And for the benefit of the record, which is a
- 13 machine that's depicted in the photograph that's
- 14 represented by Government Exhibit 3-4?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did you also examine a Draw Poker Machine, serial
- 17 | number 2977, manufactured by United Gaming that is
- 18 represented in a photograph that is marked Government's
- 19 Exhibit 3-5?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did you examine a Double Fortune Slot Machine,
- 22 | serial number 95796, that was manufactured by
- 23 | University, that is represented by a photograph that has
- 24 been marked as Government Exhibit 3-6?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 | Q Did you also examine a Malilbu Double Slot Machine
- 2 manufactured by Sigma, which is represented by a
- 3 photograph that has been marked Government Exhibit 3-7?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Did you also examine a High Roller Slot Machine,
- 6 manufactured by Sigma, which is represented by
- 7 photographs that are represented in Government Exhibits
- 8 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q The name's are getting better.
- 11 Did you examine a Blazing 7's Slot Machine, serial
- 12 | number S970316552, that was manufactured by Balley,
- 13 represented by a photograph that has been previously
- 14 marked as Government Exhibit 3-11?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did you also examine a Second Chance Seven Slot
- 17 | Machine, serial number S950100082, that was manufactured
- 18 | by Balley, represented by a photograph which has been
- 19 previously marked and admitted as Exhibit 3-12?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Did you also examine a Blazing 7's Slot Machine
- 22 | that was manufactured by Balley, is represented by a
- 23 | photograph which has been marked as Government Exhibit
- 24 3-13?
- 25 A Yes.

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 44 of 86 Pg ID 6453

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
Chris Adams-Direct Examination/Mr. Straus
```

- 1 Q How about a Stars and Bars Slot Machine, serial
- 2 | number S63L3MT-10 which is represented by a photograph
- 3 | that has been previously marked and admitted as
- 4 Government Exhibit 3-14?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Did you examine a wild Rose Slot Machine, serial
- 7 | number S930805443, that was manufactured by Balley, that
- 8 is represented by a photograph marked Government Exhibit
- 9 3-15?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | Q Did you also examine another Wild Rose Slot
- 12 Machine, serial number S960403714, manufactured by
- 13 | Balley, that is represented by a photograph --
- 14 | photograph that's been marked Proposed Government 3-16
- 15 | that has not been admitted at this point?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Have you also reviewed a Panic Saurus Slot Machine
- 18 that was manufactured by Yamasa Company.
- 19 And for benefit of the Court Reporter, I'll spell
- 20 Yamasa. Spelled y-a-m-a-s-a.
- 21 That is represented by a photograph that's been
- 22 | marked 3-20. It's a Proposed Government Exhibit?
- 23 **|** A Yes.
- 24 | O Did you --
- 25 A Yes, I examined that.

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 45 of 86 Pg ID 6454

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Direct Examination/Mr. Straus

- 1 | Q Okay. By my arithmetic, that's about 15 machines.
- 2 And did you go through all those machines?
- 3 A Yeah, we looked through all those machines.
- 4 0 You and someone else?
- 5 A Yeah. Aaron Pongrass, he's an employee for the
- 6 Gaming Control Board Lab.
- 7 Q What kind of examination did you do with these
- 8 | machines?
- 9 A We recorded the manufactured date, the serial
- 10 number.
- 11 Where available, we wrote down the software version
- 12 | numbers from the board that runs the slot machines.
- 13 Q Okay. And did you also make any observations as to
- 14 | whether or not these machines would accept U.S.
- 15 | currency?
- 16 A We did and they did.
- 17 | Q And did you find any indication of that?
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 The games were set up to accept U.S. quarters by
- 20 way of a coin comparator. So there was a quarter in the
- 21 slot.
- 22 Q What's a "coin comparator"? Is that something that
- 23 | holds a quarter?
- 24 A Well, it does hold a quarter, but it holds a
- 25 quarter to compare to it what is inserted in the slot

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Direct Examination/Mr. Straus machine. So if you insert a nickel, it doesn't accept it as a quarter, it goes to the tray. But if you insert a U.S. quarter, it will go into the hopper and accept it as a credit on the game. You said a tray. Were these games -- based on your examination, were you able to determine whether or not they paid out? There was -- another thing that we checked was, where available, the game records accounting meters. And for a variety of things. And there was meter activity on those games, so they had been used. Okay. And you mentioned "dates." How do you determine when a machine is made? We use the serial number tag that was riveted to the side of the games from the manufacture. Did you compare it to some kind of database of sorts? Not the manufacture date. We're able to look up the software version number that was installed on the boards inside the slot machines. And did you do that with each and everyone of these machines or --

- A Yeah, I did.
- 2 | Q And were those machines -- based on that, were you
- 3 able to determine whether or not these machines, as of
- 4 the date of your examination, not today, were less then
- 5 25 years old?
- 6 A Yeah.

1

7

8

21

22

23

24

- When we first looked at these, none of them were older then 25 years old.
- 9 Q All right.
- And these -- these lot machines -- just for benefit of the jury, these have the drums and wheels that spin?
- 12 A Except for the ones that were the video poker, yes.
- Q And as -- were you familiar with these games?
- 14 Had you seen these types of games before?
- 15 A Yeah. They were the same type of games used in the casinos here in Detroit.
- Q Of all those machines, were there any that had any evidence that they had actually been used in casinos?
- 19 A Yes. There were some seals that were on the boards 20 that sealed the e-prompt chips into the board.
 - There was at least one. I'd have to refer to my notes, it might have been two, that had New Jersey gaming seals on it. So they had actually been in New Jersey casinos.
- And there was at least one that had a Soaring Eagle

 Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 48 of 86 Pg ID 6458

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
          Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni
     tag seal on it to seal those chips into the board.
 1
 2
          Did you recognize any of your outfit's sealing
 3
     designations?
 4
               There were no MGCB seals on there.
 5
          Okay. Based on that review, do you have an opinion
 6
     as to whether or not, as designed, these, these had --
 7
     these were designed to be game of chance?
 8
          Yes.
 9
          All right.
10
               MR. STRAUS: I've no further questions, Your
11
     Honor.
                          Cross examination?
12
               THE COURT:
13
               MS. MACERONI: Thank you, Judge.
14
                      CROSS EXAMINATION
     BY MS. MACERONI:
15
          Good morning, Mr. Adam. (sic)
16
17
          Good morning.
18
          You testified that your examination of the
19
     machines -- you actually took the machines a part?
20
          We did not disassemble them.
21
          We did take the P.C. board out that runs the
22
     E-prompt that runs the software.
23
          Okay. Now for us computer illiterates, can you go
24
     through that step by step?
25
          Okay.
                 Sure.
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 49 of 86 Pg ID 6459 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni There's a printed circuit board that is in the game 1 2 and it has software on it that runs, that runs the slot 3 machine. 4 The software that can be updated or changed through 5 the course of the machine? 6 The software that is on those boards cannot be 7 updated externally through the game. 8 They're burned onto -- the software's burned onto a 9 chip. 10 That's actually why the gaming agents will put the 11 tape in. Once it's sealed in, there will be evidence if it's 12 been taken out and updated. 13 14 And so that was how you were able to determine the 15 age of some of these machines? 16 The age was from the manufacture date on the serial 17 number tag. 18 Okay. And you prepared a report after your 19 examination of these machines; is that correct? 20 Correct. 21 All right. 22 Do you have a copy of that report up there? 23 I don't have the actual report with me right now.

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

let me know and we can get you one. Okay?

If I ask you a question and you don't recall, just

24

25

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 50 of 86 Pg ID 6459

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni

- 1 A Okay.
- 2 | Q You also -- my understanding is, you had some
- 3 | handwritten notes that we were given?
- 4 A Yeah. Those I have.
- 5 Q All right. Good.
- 6 Now in going through your report, in the paragraph
- 7 that's been labeled G, there's no indication of what
- 8 year that machine was made. Do you recall that?
- 9 That was the Malibu Double Slot Machine
- 10 manufactured by Sigma?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q So you don't have any manufacture date for that
- 13 Malibu Double Slot Machine?
- 14 | A That's correct.
- 15 \parallel Q All of these machines, sir, were 25-cent machines.
- 16 Yes?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 | Q So they basically played quarters?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q So for the Malibu Double Slot Machine, you don't
- 21 | have a manufacturer date.
- 22 Also, the High Roller Slot Machine manufactured by
- 23 | Sigma, you don't have a manufacturer date down there as
- 24 well, do you?
- 25 A No. It was not on a serial number tag, no.

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 51 of 86 Pg ID 6450

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni
```

- 1 | Q And, actually, those -- there were three machines
- 2 | there identified as pictures from the Government 3-8,
- $3 \parallel 3-9 \text{ and } 3-10. \text{ Yes?}$
- 4 | A I don't have those pictures or numbers, but that
- 5 sounds right.
- 6 Q Sounds right?
- 7 Do you want to see a copy of your report?
- 8 A No. That's okay.
- 9 Q You would agree with that?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 | 0 There's no manufacture date on those?
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q And then on paragraph zero, the Panic Saurus Slot
- 14 Machine which was manufactured by Yamasa Company.
- 15 Do you recall that machine?
- 16 A I do.
- 17 | Q And, actually, the wording on that machine was
- 18 agent and you couldn't interpret what it said, correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 0 So there no manufactured date on that machine?
- 21 A I don't recall on that one.
- 22 | Q Would you like to see a copy of your report?
- 23 | A Sure.
- 24 MR. STRAUS: Can I see that serial number tag?
- MS. MACERONI: Sure.
 - Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14
          Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni
                          (After a short delay, the
 1
 2
                         proceedings continued)
 3
               MS. MACERONI: You can read whatever you want
 4
     in this compiled report, but what I'm referring is to
 5
     down there.
 6
                          (After a short delay, the
                         proceedings continued)
 7
 8
                             Right.
               THE WITNESS:
 9
          We didn't record a manufacturer date on that, so it
10
     wasn't, it wasn't on the machine.
11
     BY MS. MACERONI:
          So you couldn't establish the dates of three
12
13
     machines that did not have a manufactured date, correct?
14
          Correct.
15
          Now, sir, you, you don't have any law enforcement
16
     background; is that a fair statement?
17
          That's fair.
18
          You've got a mechanical engineering background and
19
     you've, you've worked in the industry examining machines
20
     for law enforcement personnel. Correct?
21
          We've examined games prior to their use in the
22
     Detroit casino market, but in our current -- in my
23
     current role.
24
          So it's for law enforcement as well as for the
25
     casinos?
```

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni

- A It for our gaming regulators, which is like a law enforcement agency, but not.
- 3 Q Okay. And in the course of that occupation, you
- 4 know that any machine that pays out currency in Michigan
- 5 has to be older then 25 years in order be legal if it's
- 6 not in a casino?
- 7 A The Michigan Complied Law, as I understand it, is
- 8 | that you cannot possess a slot machine less then 25
- 9 years old.
- 10 Q So are you agreeing with what I said?
- 11 A Well, I think your statement had something to do
- 12 with paying out currency.
- 13 Q Yes.
- 14 | A I don't believe that's what the Michigan Compiled
- 15 Laws states.
- 16 Q So whether or not the slot machine pays out money
- 17 ∥ or pays out tokens, in order for a private individual to
- 18 have it, it has to be more than 25 years old?
- 19 A Correct. That's my understanding.
- 20 Q That's your understanding, okay.
- 21 But in any event, 25 years is the point of
- 22 | consideration in determining the legalities of private
- 23 | ownership?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Are you aware, sir, that different states have

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni

- 1 different laws concerning that 25 year mark?
- 2 | A I am.
- 3 Q In fact, in Ohio, there is no such 25 year
- 4 designation, correct?
- 5 A I'm not aware of that, but I'm not surprised by
- 6 that.
- 7 | Q Okay. Because different -- there's no one uniform
- 8 standard in the industry, correct?
- 9 A That would all vary based on individual state law,
- 10 correct.
- 11 | Q And you're aware of a companies or shops, such as
- 12 like Games People Play, that sell these machines to the
- 13 general public?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q And are you aware of any type of state regulation
- 16 of those types of stores that sell those machines to the
- 17 | public?
- 18 A I'm not aware.
- 19 0 Okay.
- 20 A Just to clarify, the Michigan Gaming Controlled
- 21 | Board doesn't regulate what is sold from private stores
- 22 | to private owners.
- 23 | Q Okay. So there's no state regulation that you know
- 24 of?
- 25 A Regarding the sale, not that I'm aware of.

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni

Q Okay.

So these individuals who own these stores, like

Games People Play, they're not required to obtain some

sort of license from the state in order to sell these,

these machines. Correct?

A Correct, not from the state.

There is a federal requirement called the Johnson Act that people who possess or who will be distributing slot machines need to be registered with the Department of Justice.

- Q Are you aware of these stores having any type of spot inspections by any federal agents or state agents to see what they're selling?
- 14 | A I'm not aware.
 - Q And, in fact, isn't it true, sir, that an owner of one of these shops could go to a casino that was selling some machines and purchase those machines?
 - A I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
 - 0 Sure.

If you, you own a store that sells these types of machines for entertainment, like Games People Play, they could go to a casino who is selling older machines and purchase them, yes?

A Yes, kind of.

25 The casinos can only deal with licensed

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 56 of 86 Pg ID 6465

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/14 Chris Adams-Cross Examination/Ms. Maceroni distributors. Okay. So like you're talking about the Johnson Act before?

4 Correct.

1

2.

3

- 5 All right.
- 6 So they could -- but a person who owns a store,
- 7 like Games People Play, can be registered under that
- 8 federal law, correct?
- 9 I believe so, yes.
- 10 Okay. And just so I understand this 25 year mark,
- 11 you were looking at these machines in 2007, yes?
- 12 Yes.
- 13 So if my math is correct, if these machines
- 14 had been made or had been manufactured in 1980, they
- 15 would have been legal to have been in use by a private
- 16 individual. Yes?
- 17 Correct.
- 1981, there wouldn't have been a problem, correct? 18
- 19 Correct. Α
- 20 1982, though, that's the cut off mark.
- 21 For 2007, yes.
- 22 Okay. And if you're a private citizen walking into
- a store, such as Games People Play, would you agree with 23
- 24 me that it's incumbent on the owner of that store to let
- 25 the customers know whether or not what they're buying is

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 57 of 86 Pg ID 6466
             Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                      12/11/2014
             Chris Adams-Redirect Exam/Mr. Straus
 1
     legal?
 2
               MR. STRAUS: I'll object. I don't know if
 3
     there's any foundation for that whatsoever.
 4
               THE COURT:
                           I agree. Asking for a legal
 5
     opinion basically. Next question.
 6
     BY MS. MACERONI:
 7
          Have you ever seen anyone or ever gone to Games
 8
     People Play in the course of your employment?
 9
          A store that sells used slot machines?
10
          Yes.
11
     Α
          No.
12
                 And, again, sir, this 25 year mark is not
13
     something that's standard across the country. Correct?
14
     It's just in Michigan, as far as you know?
15
          Correct.
     Α
16
               MS. MACERONI: Thank you. I don't have
17
     anything else.
               THE COURT: Any other cross? Any redirect,
18
    Mr. Straus?
19
20
               MR. STRAUS: Yes, Your Honor. Just briefly.
21
                         REDIRECT EXAMINATION
22
     BY MR. STRAUS:
2.3
          Mr. Adams, you're not a lawyer, are you?
24
     Α
          No.
25
          You're not a police officer?
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 58 of 86 Pg ID 64578

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Chris Adams-Redirect Exam/Mr. Straus

- 1 A No.
- 2 | Q You're not involved in the enforcement end of the
- 3 gaming world, are you?
- 4 | A No.
- 5 Q You were asked a series of questions about this 25
- 6 year mark.
- 7 You opined on direct these machines were built
- 8 within the previous 25 years of your examination, which
- 9 I think was November of 2007.
- 10 Yes?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And during the course of your working for -- in the
- 13 private sector and working for the Gaming Board, have
- 14 | you seen -- have you seen a wide variety of these
- 15 | machines?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q Have you seen the progression of the styles of
- 18 | these machines over time?
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 Q And some of these were made by Balley, I take it?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 | Q And some were made by some more obscure brands?
- 23 | A Yes.
- 24 | Q And, in your opinion, based on seeing these things,
- 25 were these -- were the ones that didn't have numbers,
 - Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 59 of 86 Pg ID 6458

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014
             Chris Adams-Redirect Exam/Mr. Straus
 1
     were these the types of machines that were floating
 2
     around in 1982-1981?
 3
               MS. MACERONI: Judge, I'd object. Lack of
 4
     foundation.
 5
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 6
               THE WITNESS: I wasn't in the industry in
 7
     1982, but -- so I couldn't really say what was, what was
 8
     there.
 9
          But the circuit board based --
10
               MS. MACERONI: Your Honor, based on his
11
     answer, I'd make my objection again. He said I wasn't
12
     really around in 1982.
13
               THE COURT: You don't have to been around in
14
     1928 to know what's current in 1982, based upon further
15
     experience. Overruled. Go ahead.
     BY MR. STRAUS:
16
17
          You were saying something about the circuitry, I
     take it?
18
19
          Electronically run slot machines and video poker
20
     games are, are pretty recent.
21
          Early '80's to mid 80's is when, you know, they
22
     started growing in use.
23
          Okay. And based on your review of the circuitry,
24
     the -- I quess it would be the solid state circuitry of
25
     the ones that didn't have hard manufacturing dates on
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Chris Adams-Redirect Exam/Mr. Straus 1 them, do you have an opinion as to the, the state of technology of the circuitry on those; more recent or 2. 3 older? 4 It would be tough to say with certainty, but it's 5 in the same type of generation of the rest of the games 6 that were there. 7 Okay. Now as you said, you weren't a you weren't a 8 lawyer. 9 When we talk about the -- I think you -- on cross 10 examination, you gave your understanding or impression, 11 even though you're not a lawyer of the law. 12 And I think you said something that to possess one of these things that is less then 25 years old, just to 13 14 possess, that is illegal, correct? 15 That is my understanding of MCL, yeah. 16 You are not saying that if someone were wished to open a casino and load it up with machines that are 17 18 older then 25? 19 MR. MACHASIC: Your Honor, I object. 20 beyond this witness's knowledge. 21 He's testified he's not a lawyer. 22 The government was objecting to the same line of 23 questioning. I object. 24 MR. STRAUS: Oh, I think the cross examination 25 was pretty robust in this particular area, based on his

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 61 of 86 Pg ID 64 60 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Chris Adams-Redirect Exam/Mr. Straus limited knowledge. 1 2 THE COURT: Your objection's overruled. 3 MR. PITTS: I would join in. I would say the 4 question's leading. 5 THE COURT: I've heard enough objections on 6 this. I've heard enough objections. 7 The objection's overruled. The question's within 8 the scope of cross examination. 9 MR. PITTS: Your Honor, my objection is 10 leading, which is separate from their objections. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. 12 BY MR. STRAUS: 13 Mr. Adams, it's not your understanding that 14 somebody could open up a casino and load it up with old 15 machines, older then 25, 30 year old that paid out in 16 cash, that that would be a legal operation? Objection. Improper hypothetical. 17 MR. PITTS: 18 THE COURT: Overruled. 19 BY MR. STRAUS: 20 Mr. Adams? 21 Such establishment would not be legal.

22 MR. STRAUS: All right. Thank you. 23 further questions, Your Honor.

(A discussion was held off the record)

25

24

```
2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 62 of 86 Pg ID 64 $\frac{1}{2}$
             Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                         12/11/2014
         Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin
               MR. STRAUS: All right.
 1
                                         Thank you.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. The witness may step
 3
     down.
 4
          (Whereupon the witness was excused at 10:19 a.m.)
 5
               MS. MOHSIN: Your Honor, the government would
 6
     call Lillian George.
 7
          (Whereupon the witness was then sworn)
 8
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
          Good morning.
     0
10
          Good morning.
11
          I'm going ask to you try to keep that microphone at
12
     an appropriate distance so you may be heard clearly.
13
          Could you please could you please state your full
14
     name, spell your last name for the jury.
15
          My name is Lillian George. G-e-o-r-g-e.
16
          Mrs. George, are you Mrs.?
17
          I am.
          And what do you do for a living?
18
19
     Α
          I'm retired.
20
          And how long have you been retired?
21
          A little over a year.
22
          And where are you retired from?
23
          From the Pima County Sheriff's Department.
24
     that's p-i-m-a.
25
          And when you say the Pima County Sheriff's
          Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066
```

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 63 of 86 Pg ID 64 62

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- 1 Department, what state is that in?
- 2 A In Arizona.
- 3 Q How long were you employed by the Pima County
- 4 | Sheriff's Department?
- 5 A 20 years.
- 6 Q And, so, approximately, when did you begin as a
- 7 Pima County Law Enforcement Officer?
- 8 A In 1994.
- 9 Q And so I want to direct your attention to your
- 10 career with the Pima County Sheriff's Department or
- 11 | Sheriff's Department.
- 12 In what capacity were you initially employed by the
- 13 Pima County Sheriff's Department as a law enforcement
- 14 | officer?
- 15 A I was a control deputy.
- 16 After I got out of the academy. And so it was a
- 17 | fully marked patrol vehicle with the lights and sirens,
- 18 the uniform, the vest.
- 19 | O Can you move that microphone just a little bit
- 20 over. That's perfect.
- Now after that period of time, did there come a
- 22 | time where you became a detective of any kind?
- 23 | A Yes.
- 24 0 When did that occur?
- 25 A I want to say it was right inside about 2001.

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin That sounds about right. 1 2. When you were a detective, what type of cases were 3 you assigned to handle? 4 Initially, I started in the Community Problems 5 Unit, which was anything from garden hoses to, you know, 6 big fraudulent kind of cases. 7 Then I went into robbery-aggravated assault, where 8 we did bank robberies, aggravated assaults, that kind of 9 thing, aggravated kinds of crimes. 10 And did there come a time where -- when you were in 11 the Robbery Assault Unit, that you had occasion to 12 investigate offenses involving acts of violence? 13 Yes. 14 And when you -- did that occur, you know, on any 15 sort with any sort of frequency? 16 Yes. 17 Approximately, to the extent you can approximate, 18 how many types or how many types of cases or number of 19 cases, more appropriately, would you say you were 20 involved with that involved some sort of a violent 21 crime? 22 Would it be dozens? Would it be -- give us a range, if you can. 23 24 Pushing up into the hundred. 25 Were any of the cases you were involved Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- 1 | investigating, did any of them involve beatings with any
- 2 sort of dangerous weapons?
- $3 \parallel A \qquad Yes.$
- 4 | Q Did you ever investigate any cases involving
- 5 | individuals that had been struck with baseball bats?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And did you do that once or on more than one
- 8 | occasion?
- 9 A More than one occasion.
- 10 Q Have you ever seen anyone that's had injuries that
- 11 were consistent with what appeared to be from baseball
- 12 bats?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 | O All right. Again, had that happened on one
- 15 occasion or more than one occasion?
- 16 A More than one occasion.
- 17 | Q Now Pima County Arizona, does that encompass
- 18 Tucson, Arizona?
- 19 A It does.
- 20 Q Does it encompass Phoenix, Arizona?
- 21 A No.
- 22 | Q What county is Phoenix, Arizona in?
- 23 A They are in Maricopa County.
- 24 | O Is that a county that abuts Pima County or is it
- 25 | further away?

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- A There might be a little section to the very far west by the California border where it does butt up against it.
- But Pinal County is between the Tucson-Pima County
 area and the Phoenix Metro area.
- Q Now Arizona is -- just for the sake of making it very clear.
- 8 Is Tucson in -- what part of Arizona is Tucson in?
- 9 A It's in the very southwest portion of the state.
- 10 The closest to the border would be Nogales, Arizona.
- 11 Q And where is Phoenix in relation to Tucson?
- 12 A It's approximately less then 100 miles from Tucson 13 itself.
- 14 | Q Is it north, south, east, west?
- 15 A It's north.
- 16 Q I want to direct your attention to your experience.
- Would it be fair to say you had been a law
- enforcement officer for, about, 20 years or so?
- 19 A Yes.

1

2

3

- 20 Q And during that time, did you ever encounter or
- 21 have occasion to encounter members of motorcycle clubs
- 22 | in your county?
- 23 | A Yes.
- Q Can you describe for the jury some of the
- 25 motorcycle clubs that you have encountered during your

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                        12/11/2014
         Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin
     career as a Pima County law enforcement official?
 1
          Do you mean as far as the clubs themselves?
 2
 3
          Yes.
 4
          What clubs have you encountered, become aware of,
 5
     et cetera.
 6
          Well, there's, there's a couple of chapters for the
 7
     Hell's Angels that are in the Tucson area itself in the
 8
     city limits.
 9
          And then there's the Devil's Diciples.
10
          And there's the -- I want to say -- there's a
11
     couple of presence from other clubs that are kind of in
     the area, but the Mongols are in the area as well.
12
13
          When you say "a couple of clubs in the area," are
14
     you making any sort of a distinction between national
15
     clubs or international clubs and local clubs?
16
          Yes.
17
          All right.
18
          Now, to your knowledge, you indicated there were a
19
     couple of chapters of the Hell's Angels in Tucson.
20
     that what you said?
21
          Yes.
          Are you familiar with whether or not the Hell's
22
23
     Angels had motorcycle club chapters in other places in
24
     Arizona?
25
          Yes.
```

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

Q Do you have an understanding, approximately, how many chapters or perhaps where those chapters might be located of the Hell's Angels in Arizona?

I want to direct your attention, I apologize, to roughly 2003 or the early 2000's.

A To my knowledge, back then, there was about eight chapters in the Phoenix Metropolitan Area. So that would include Glendale, Tempe, that kind of stuff.

Then there were a couple up on the north rim towards the Grand Canyon going into Nevada, Colorado.

One in Flagstaff and one in Bullhead City.

I'm pretty sure there was one in Kingman, because, again, that goes towards the California side. And we had two in Tucson.

- Q So based upon what you've just described, would it be fair to say you were aware of at least 10 chapters of the Hell's Angels in Arizona at the time?
- 18 **A** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 19 Q Are you familiar with an individual by the name of 20 Sonny Barger?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Do you know who that individual is or was?
- 23 | A Yes.
- 24 0 Who was he or is he?
- 25 A Back in the 70's, he was essentially a number one.

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                        12/11/2014
         Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin
     He was the President for the Hell's Angels.
 1
 2
          And though he claims retirement status, he's
 3
     still -- at least when I was still working, he still had
 4
     quite a bit of an influence in that club.
 5
          When you say "that club" you're referring to Hell's
 6
     Angels?
 7
          I am.
 8
          Do you have any understanding where he resides?
 9
          Last I heard, he was in Scottsdale, Arizona.
10
          Now I want to direct your attention to the Devil's
11
     Diciples.
          You had indicated that that was another club that,
12
13
     during the course of your duties as a law enforcement
     officer in Pima County, you became aware of.
14
15
          How many chapters were you aware of the Devil's
     Diciples in Arizona?
16
17
          Just Tucson.
18
          Just Tucson. So one chapter?
19
     Α
          Yes.
20
          Do you have an understanding of where that
21
     Chapter -- withdrawn.
22
          Do you have an understanding if there was a club
23
    house associated with that chapter?
24
          Yes.
25
          Do you know where it was?
```

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 70 of 86 Pg ID 6479

```
Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014
Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin
```

- A Yes. It was on Benson Highway.
- 2 | Q Isn't that in the City of Tucson itself?
- 3 A No. It's in the county limits.
- 4 Q All right.

1

- 5 Now directing your attention to the Mongols.
- 6 You said they were another club that had a presence
- 7 | in Arizona; is that correct?
- 8 As I recall, yes.
- 9 Q All right.
- 10 Were they as significant as the Hell's Angels or to
- 11 some lesser significance?
- 12 A Lesser significance.
- 13 Q Then you indicated that there were other smaller
- 14 | clubs that were, perhaps not national clubs; is that a
- 15 | fair statement?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 | Q So of the motorcycle clubs that you were aware of
- 18 during your years as a Pima County Law Enforcement
- 19 | Officer, who was the most -- who had the most numbers in
- 20 the State of Arizona?
- 21 A The Hell's Angels.
- 22 | Q And based upon your experiences, did they have a
- 23 | reputation within the law enforcement community as far
- 24 as their strength?
- 25 A Yes.

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 71 of 86 Pg ID 6480 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin 1 What was that reputation? Well, specifically, they were known to do a lot of 2 3 different types of illegal activities. 4 You want me specify? 5 I think I'll be more specific. 6 Were they considered to be a preeminent club? 7 Α Yes. 8 Now -- and was that something that occurred 9 throughout the State of Arizona? 10 In other words, they considered to be the most 11 powerful in Arizona? 12 Yes. 13 I want to direct your attention, now, to April of 14 2003. 15 Were you employed by the Pima County Sheriff's Department in April of 2003? Yes.

- 16
- 17
- 18 And were you a detective?
- 19 Α I was.
- 20 And at that time, what was your assignment? 21 squad were you in?
- 22 I was in the Robbery-Aggravated Assault.
- 23 Did there come an occasion where you were called 24 upon to respond to the University Medical Center on, 25 approximately, April 28, 2003?

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 72 of 86 Pg ID 64812

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- 1 A Yes. It was about 30 minutes.
- 2 Yeah. It was about 12:30 in the morning.
 - 0 Of the 28th?
- 4 | A Of the 28th.
- 5 Q So from the night of the 27th into the 28th?
- 6 A Yes.

3

- 7 | Q Now if someone's involved in a car accident, is
- 8 that something that you, as a detective in the Robbery
- 9 Assault Unit, would be called out to investigate?
- 10 | A No.
- 11 Q When would you be called out to investigate
- 12 something?
- 13 A It would have to be at a level of -- it would have
- 14 | to be for, in that particular instance, an aggravated
- 15 | assault of some sort. Some sort of physical kind of
- 16 | activity occurred.
- 17 Q So when you were contacted, what was your
- 18 | understanding about what your purpose was in going to
- 19 | the University Medical Center, you know, around the
- 20 midnight hours of April 27th into the 28th of 2003?
- 21 A There were four individuals that had been located
- 22 | in a very remote area of the desert and brought in for
- 23 | medical treatment.
- One was actually medevaced in because he was out of
- 25 consciousness at the time that they actually located

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Yes.

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 73 of 86 Pg ID 6482 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin him. And the injuries that they had received or what had happened out there -- one or two had claimed that there had been a vehicle accident. However, we didn't find a vehicle. Then one of the persons was saying they'd actually been beaten. So that several of us had gone out to the desert area, as far as my squad, to find a location and do follow up. And then myself and another detective had gone to do the hospital follow up and get statements from these victims that were at the hospital. So at the time that you were contacted or to go the hospital, had four individuals already been found in the desert? Yes. And did you arrive at the University Medical Center? I did. Is there a Level One Trauma Center at that particular hospital?

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

the time in the Tucson area?

And was that the only Level One Trauma Center at

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 74 of 86 Pg ID 6483

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

A Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- Q When you arrived there, what did you do first?
- A I got a briefing from the deputy that was there,
 which was pretty vague.

And -- but he, he indicated that there the four that were there were in different locations.

The one that had been unconscious had been intubated, so there was no way to get a statement from this individual. And he had still not gained consciousness at the time.

So where they were located in the trauma area is where I went to go and speak with these folks.

- Q So when you arrived, you became aware that one of the four individuals was unconscious and intubated?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q So you were not able to speak with him?
- 17 A Correct.
 - Q Did you have conversations with a doctor about his condition?
- 20 A I'm sure it was the doctor. But yes, yes.

He stated that there was just -- they were trying to keep him alive and figure out what was broken with him. But it appeared that he had received head trauma.

Q Now did you have an opportunity to, at some point, to take any photographs of this individual?

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 75 of 86 Pg ID 6484

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

A Yes.

1

- 2 | Q I want to direct your attention, though, to what
- 3 you did after you were unable to speak with him.
- By the way, what was his name, the one was
- 5 | intubated?
- 6 A His name was Ray McCoskey.
- 7 | Q And did he have a nickname you later determined
- 8 through the investigation?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What was that nickname?
- 11 A Golf Clubs.
- 12 Q And so after you attempted to speak with Ray
- 13 McCoskey or Golf Clubs, what did you do next?
- 14 | A I then began interviewing and speaking with the
- 15 other victims that were at the Trauma Center.
- 16 Q And among those victims, who did you try to speak
- 17 | with first?
- 18 A That was Charlie Riggs or Higgins. He goes by the
- 19 | nickname of Riggs.
- 20 | Q And did you have somewhat of a conversation with
- 21 him?
- 22 | A Yes.
- 23 | Q Did you have any conversation with any of the other
- 24 | victims?
- 25 A Yes.

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- 1 0 Who else?
- 2 A I spoke with Michael Towner also known as "Two
- 3 Dogs" and John Fournier, also known as "Johnny Old
- 4 | School".
- 5 Q And did there come a time where you received
- 6 information that caused you to take additional
- 7 | investigative steps and I want to direct your attention
- 8 too the Box Canyon.
- 9 Did there come a time based on your discussions
- 10 with them you had to take any or your team had to take
- 11 any investigative steps in the Box Canyon?
- 12 **|** A Yes.
- 13 Q What were those steps?
- 14 A "Johnny Old School" had advised me that there had
- 15 | actually been five victims.
- So that if we hadn't found any other victims or
- 17 | they weren't at the hospital, that this person might
- 18 actually be dead in the canyon.
- 19 So we had Search and Rescue come out and look and
- 20 we had helicopters go over and try to find a heat
- 21 signature, the whole shebang.
- 22 | Q After you had these conversations with these
- 23 | individuals, did there come a time where you took
- 24 photographs of their injuries?
- 25 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 77 of 86 Pg ID 6486 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin And were -- was there any particular reason why you took certain photographs? Α Yes. What did you observe of each of these victims? And what was the reason why you wanted to take photographs? What I had observed -- Charlie Riggs or Higgins, is about 6 foot 5. I don't know if you've seen a hospital gurney, but they're not that tall, they're not that long. So his feet are hanging off the side and he has no boots. His feet are bloody and bruised. He's not -he's bloodied up all over. He's not wearing a shirt. And it was, it was just odd, because I knew who he was from my years of experience in patrol. Same thing with "Two Dogs". He didn't have his clothing with him. And he was just as disheveled. From my training and experience, we, we were taught to look for pattern injuries. "Johnny Old School" was telling me that they had been beaten with baseball bats and with brass knuckles

and just, just bludgeoned, essentially.

And the injuries I took photographs of were indicative to have that type of sort of injury, not with a roll over, unless there were rocks in the car.

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 78 of 86 Pg ID 64878 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin 1 there was no car. 2 So I want to direct your attention now to the 3 photographs. 4 Prior to testifying here today, did you have a 5 chance to look at some photographs with exhibit stickers 6 on them? 7 I did. Α 8 Did you recognize those photographs? 9 I did. 10 Did you recognize those photographs as photographs 11 you had taken? 12 And the hospital. 13 Were they contemporaneous with the injuries as you 14 observed them? 15 Α Yes. 16 All right? Q 17 MS. MOHSIN: One moment, please. 18 19 (After a short delay, the 20 proceedings continued) 21 22 MS. MOHSIN: Your Honor, I believe there's no 23 objection. 24 I'm going to move for admission of certain 25 photographs as follows: 11-33, 11-34, 11-36, 11-38,

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 79 of 86 Pg ID 6488 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin through 42 inclusive. 1 11-52, 11-54, 11-55, 11-57, 11-58, through 65 2 3 inclusive. 4 11-67, 11-68, 11-70 through 11-78 inclusive. 5 THE COURT: That's it? 6 MS. MOHSIN: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Without objection? Hearing none, 8 each is received. 9 MS. MOHSIN: Yes, Your Honor. 10 BY MS. MOHSIN: 11 Mrs. George, I would like to direct your attention 12 to Government Exhibit 11-33. It's going be put up on 13 this large screen as well as to the screen next to you. 14 To the extent the screen is not sufficiently clear, I 15 would ask you look at the larger one. 16 Do you recognize this photo? 17 Α Yes. How did you recognize it? 18 19 Α I took it. 20 And who's depicted? 21 "Johnny Old School". Α

Is this what he looked like when you first saw him?

Directing your attention to 11-34. Did you take

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

22

23

24

25

Α

Yes.

that photo?

2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 80 of 86 Pg ID 6489

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

A Yes.

- Q There's a laser pointer next to you. I would ask
 if you could identify if there's anything about this
 particular photo that caused you to take it.
 - A Other than the facial injuries that were in the first photograph, right here on his left ribcage area, there is -- they're scratches and abrasions which are consistent with a falling sort of occurrence.

But he -- there's also -- and it's hard to see in this photograph. But there is a pattern injury right along here, from what would be consistent with a baseball bat.

- Q All right. Directing your attention now to 11-35.

 Is there a reason why you took this particular photo?
- 15 A Yes. Right. Obviously, there's, there's signs of 16 injury here to his left eye.

He informed me later on that his orbital had been cracked.

But right along the temple right here, that injury was pretty significant because there was actually a goose egg coming out from that injury, which is consistent with a really hard blow to the head.

He stated he'd been in and out of consciousness after the assault against him and then once he'd been thrown down into the ravine.

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- Q Directing your attention to 11-36.
- 2 Could you tell the jury why you took this
- 3 particular photo?

1

- 4 A It just indicates the top of his head.
- 5 There's also this, this injury right to the top of
- 6 his head, which could have been from the blow.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} 11-37. Same question.
- 8 A It's to show the bruising around his eye and the
- 9 blood over his right temple.
- 10 **|** 0 11-38.
- 11 A Okay. I would direct you to his knee as well as
- 12 his shin.
- He had indicated that they'd struck him with a
- 14 | baseball bat or hard object across his knees and his
- 15 | shins as well as his feet.
- 16 **|** 0 11-39.
- 17 | A This is a photograph indicating the left and right,
- 18 primarily the left leg.
- 19 So, again, there's the bruising right here across
- 20 the knees.
- 21 And as I recall, he indicated that he'd been in a
- 22 seated position when they struck him across the
- 23 kneecaps.
- 24 O 11-40.
- 25 A I was in particular taking that photograph because

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
2;11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 82 of 86 Pg ID 6492
          Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII
                                     12/11/2014
      Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin
  that tattoo is right there which has many different
  variables if you ask anybody.
       That's something you've seen in connection with
  members of motorcycle clubs?
       Yes.
       All right. Directing your attention to 11-41.
       So this was consistent with his statement of being
  struck by a hard object on his feet.
       Again, the lighting in the hospitals are terrible,
  but right here is -- across the face of his right foot
  is a contusion, a bruising coming up. I mean it was a
  pretty good goose egg coming up.
       I took a different photograph and angles of that.
  That was a fresh bruise.
       11-42?
       Same foot as the right foot facing from the arch
  outward.
       I want to direct your attention to the next series
  of photographs 11-44.
       Do you recognize that individual?
             That's Charlie Higgins.
       Yes.
       All right. 11-40.
       Anything about this photograph you want to point
  out before we move to the next one?
```

Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

No. He had complained that he had also passed out.

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- 1 He was in that same collar, so it was hard for him to
- 2 move his head.
- 3 **|** Q 11−45.
- 4 A I was just making sure I could take tattoos of him
- 5 (sic).
- 6 Q 11-46.
- 7 A So the cuts on the pants -- if any of you know or
- 8 could see on television, they actually cut the clothing
- 9 off to see what type of injuries they have.
- But he had a pretty good laceration and avulsions
- 11 | from falling down into the ravine, according to his
- 12 statement.
- 13 O 11-47.
- 14 A Again, there's an injury right on the elbow, on the
- 15 crown of the elbow right here.
- 16 Q Is that why you took the photo?
- 17 **|** A I did.
- 18 O 11-48.
- 19 A Right along his left ribcage, it's smeared with
- 20 dirt and blood.
- 21 But there also appeared to be a pattern injury
- 22 | there consistent with something hard striking him hard
- 23 and long.
- 24 0 Directing your attention to 11-49.
- 25 A Just another depiction of the tattoos that he had
 - Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 84 of 86 Pg ID 6493

Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin

- 1 on his body.
 - 0 11-50.

2

- 3 A This is consistent with the information that we'd
- 4 received from "Johnny Old School". They'd beaten them
- 5 with the baseball bats or hard objects on the feet.
- 6 And there was, there was an enormous pooling of
- 7 | blood here as well as around here, so there's some edema
- 8 going on.
- 9 Q Is that why you took that photo?
- 10 A Yes. If you look at the right kneecap, it's also
- 11 significantly bruised.
- 12 **|** 0 11-52.
- 13 A These are the soles, soles of Mr. Higgins' foot.
- 14 He had no boots at all.
- 15 | Q Directing your attention, now, to the next series
- 16 **∥** of photographs 11-54.
- 17 Do you recognize this photo?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 Q Did you take that photo -- series of photos as
- 20 well?
- 21 A I did.
- 22 Q Who's that?
- 23 \parallel A Mr. McCoskey, the gentleman that was intubated.
- 24 Q And the people with the lights?
- 25 A Those are reflectors. That's the flight crew that

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 85 of 86 Pg ID 6494 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin brought him in. 1 2 11-55. 3 Same. 4 Mr. McCoskey? 5 It is. 6 What I was depicting were the markings on the 7 sole -- soles of his feet or balls of his feet which are 8 dirty and they had cactus in them. 9 So I couldn't get too close to these folks because 10 I had all medical treatment going on around them. 11 wanted to capture as much of the photographs as I could. Again, he didn't have any clothing with him either. 12 13 11-56. Who's that? 14 That's Mr. McCoskey on his left side. 15 All right. Anything significant you want to 16 identify about the photo? 17 I noticed that there's a bruising here on his 18 shoulder and -- yes. 19 On the left temple right here, so across the bone 20 just to, to the side of the temple. So along the 21 orbital. 22 Okay. 11-57. 23 Anything significant about that photo? 24 Just another view same area. 25 Do you have more limited photos of Mr. McCoskey

2:11-cr-20066-RHC-MKM Doc # 239 Filed 12/12/14 Pg 86 of 86 Pg ID 6495 Jury Trial Vol. XXVIII 12/11/2014 Lillian George-Direct Examination/Ms. Mohsin then you had wanted? 1 2 Yes. Was that because of the medical personnel? 3 4 And because of his medical condition, they were 5 struggling to hold onto him. 6 THE COURT: We'll take our morning recess, 20 7 minutes in the jury room, ladies and gentlemen. 8 Resuming in 20 minutes. Thank you. 9 10 (Whereupon court was in recess at 10:50 a.m.) 11 12 13 14 15 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 16 17 18 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 19 20 from reported proceedings in the above-entitled 21 matter. 22 23 24 25 s/Carol S. Sapala, FCRR, RMR December 11, 2014 Usa v Sutherland, et al 11-20129; 11-20066